

# Illinois Route 131 (Green Bay Road) From Sunset Avenue to Russell Road Lake County

#### Phase I Engineering Alternatives Evaluation March 23, 2011

- 1. Estimated construction and right-of-way acquisition costs are preliminary and subject to change.
- 2. Based on land use and characteristics, the project has been divided into three sections for analysis:
  - Sunset Avenue to Wadsworth Road
  - Wadsworth Road to Illinois Route 173
  - Illinois Route 173 to Russell Road
- 3. Pedestrian and Bicycle Accommodations:
  - Illinois Complete Streets Law requires the full consideration of bicycle and pedestrian accommodations into state highway projects. In keeping with this law, IDOT recently revised its policies and made several changes to its selection guidelines for pedestrian and bicycle infrastructure. Under the new selection criteria, pedestrians and bicyclists are best accommodated by an off-road shared-use path on roadways sharing Illinois Route 131's classification, traffic volumes, and posted speed limits.
  - Preliminary Alternatives A1, A2, B1, and B2 did not propose adequate bicycle/pedestrian accommodations. Therefore they are not compliant with Complete Streets Law and cannot be carried forward in the study.
  - Alternatives E1 and E2 follow Complete Streets Law by proposing paths and/or sidewalks on both sides of the highway the entire length of the project.
- 4. Engineering and environmental data were collected, analyzed, and evaluated for each alternative and are shown on the following pages.
- 5. The **BLUE** scores are objective ratings by the Project Study Team. These scores were determined by comparing the alternative score for a particular category to the average score of all alternatives for that category. The scores range from 1 5 where:
  - 1 = negative, or less favorable
  - 3 = neutral
  - 5 = positive, or more favorable
- 6. The **RED** scores are subjective ratings based Corridor Planning and Technical Advisory Group member responses at the November 30, 2010 group meeting. The scores reflect how well the alternatives meet the project needs.



## REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - SCORES

SUNSET AVENUE to WADSWORTH ROAD						
			Alternative E1	Alternative E2		
Alternative Description Impacts			Both alternatives have two through lanes in each direction			
		Rating	13' Two-way Left-turn Flush Median, Paved Shoulder edge treatment	13' Two-way Left-turn Flush Median, Curb & Gutter edge treatment		
Estimated Costs	Construction Cost	(1-5)	4	3		
Estin	Right-of-Way Acquisition	(1-5)	1	5		
	Residential Impact	(1-5)	1 .	5		
uired of-Way	Commercial Impact	(1-5)	1	5		
Required Right-of-Way	Agricultural Impact	(1-5)	5	5		
	Industrial Impact	(1-5)	5	5		
	Safety	(1-5)	4.75	3.00		
Spa	Capacity	(1-5)	4.00	4.00		
Needs	Operations/Mobility	(1-5)	4.00	3.30		
	Pedestrian/Bicycle Accommodation	(1-5)	3.30	5.00		
nt	Wetland Impact	(1-5)	1	4		
onment	Archaeological Site Impact	(N/A)	TBD	TBD		
Envir	Floodplain Impact	(1-5)	5	5		
Affected	Special Waste Sites Impact	(1-5)	1	1		
Afi	Section 4(f) Land Impact	(1-5)	5	5		
	Total	70	45.05	58.30		

## Notes:

Construction cost does not include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

**Scores** for each category range **1 to 5**: 1 = negative, or less favorable; 3 = neutral; 5 = positive, or more favorable. Archaeological Site Impact will be determined based on preferred alternative survey.

Blue Scores = objective scores from Project Study Team based on the average values for each specific category.

Red Scores = subjective scores from CPG/TAG responses at November 30, 2010 meeting





## REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - SCORES

WADSWORTH ROAD to ILLINOIS ROUTE 173						
Alternatives Description Impacts			Alternative E1 Alternative E2  Both alternatives have two through lanes in each direction			
		Rating	13' Two-way Left-turn Flush Median, Paved Shoulder edge treatment	22' Barrier Median, Curb & Gutter edge treatment		
Estimated Costs	Construction Cost	(1-5)	2	3		
Estin	Right-of-Way Acquisition	(1-5)	1	4		
	Residential Impact	(1-5)	1 .	5		
Required Right-of-Way	Commercial Impact	(1-5)	2	2		
Requ	Agricultural Impact	(1-5)	1	3		
	Industrial Impact	(1-5)	5	5		
	Safety	(1-5)	4.25	3.75		
spa	Capacity	(1-5)	4.00	3.75		
Needs	Operations/Mobility	(1-5)	4.00	3.50		
	Pedestrian/Bicycle Accommodation	(1-5)	4.25	5.00		
ent	Wetland Impact	(1-5)	1	3		
ironment	Archaeological Site Impact	(N/A)	TBD	TBD		
d Envir	Floodplain Impact	(1-5)	5	5		
Affected	Special Waste Sites Impact	(1-5)	4	4		
¥	Section 4(f) Land Impact	(1-5)	5	5		
	Total	70	43.50	55.00		

## Notes:

Construction cost does not include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

**Scores** for each category range **1 to 5**: 1 = negative, or less favorable; 3 = neutral; 5 = positive, or more favorable. Archaeological Site Impact will be determined based on preferred alternative survey.

Blue Scores = objective scores from Project Study Team based on the average values for each specific category.

Red Scores = subjective scores from CPG/TAG responses at November 30, 2010 meeting







## REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - SCORES

ILLINOIS ROUTE 173 to RUSSELL ROAD					
			Alternative E1	Alternative E2	
Alternatives Description Impacts			Both alternatives have two through lanes in e		
		Rating	22' Barrier Median, Paved Shoulder edge treatment	22' Barrier Median, Curb & Gutter edge treatment	
Estimated Costs	Construction Cost	(1-5)	3	2	
Estir	Right-of-Way Acquisition	(1-5)	1	3	
	Residential Impact	(1-5)	1	2	
uired of-Wa	Commercial Impact	(1-5)	1	4	
Required Right-of-Way	Agricultural Impact	(1-5)	1	3	
	Industrial Impact	(1-5)	1	3	
	Safety	(1-5)	4.75	3.50	
spe	Capacity	(1-5)	4.00	3.75	
Needs	Operations/Mobility	(1-5)	3.75	3.50	
	Pedestrian/Bicycle Accommodation	(1-5)	4.25	5.00	
nt	Wetland Impact	(1-5)	1	2	
Environment	Archaeological Site Impact	(N/A)	TBD	TBD	
	Floodplain Impact	(1-5)	5	5	
Affected	Special Waste Sites Impact	(1-5)	3	3	
A	Section 4(f) Land Impact	(1-5)	5	5	
	Total	47.75			

#### Notes:

Construction cost does not include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

**Scores** for each category range **1 to 5**: 1 = negative, or less favorable; 3 = neutral; 5 = positive, or more favorable. Archaeological Site Impact will be determined based on preferred alternative survey.

Blue Scores = objective scores from Project Study Team based on the average values for each specific category.

Red Scores = subjective scores from CPG/TAG responses at November 30, 2010 meeting







# REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - SCORES

# PROJECT TOTAL SUNSET AVENUE to RUSSELL ROAD

	Alternative	Rating	Alternative E1	Alternative E2
Estimated	Construction Cost	(1-5)	3.00	2.67
Estin	Right-of-Way Acquisition	(1-5)	1.00	4.00
	Residential Impact	(1-5)	1.00	4.00
uired of-Way	Commercial Impact	(1-5)	1.33	3.67
Required Right-of-Way	Agricultural Impact	(1-5)	2.33	3.67
Ľ,	Industrial Impact	(1-5)	3.67	4.33
	Safety	(1-5)	4.58	3.42
Spa	Capacity	(1-5)	4.00	3.83
Needs	Operations/Mobility	(1-5)	3.92	3.43
	Pedestrian/Bicycle Accommodation	(1-5)	3.93	5.00
nt	Wetland Impact	(1-5)	1.00	3.00
onme	Archaeological Site Impact	(N/A)	TBD	TBD
Affected Environment	Floodplain Impact	(1-5)	5.00	5.00
fected	Special Waste Sites Impact	(1-5)	2.67	2.67
Afi	Section 4(f) Land Impact	(1-5)	5.00	5.00
	Total	70	42.43	53.68

#### Notes:

Construction cost does not include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

**Scores** for each category range **1 to 5**: 1 = negative, or less favorable; 3 = neutral; 5 = positive, or more favorable. Archaeological Site Impact will be determined based on preferred alternative survey.

Blue Scores = objective scores from Project Study Team based on the average values for each specific category.

Red Scores = subjective scores from CPG/TAG responses at November 30, 2010 meeting







# REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - DATA

	SUNSETAVENU	E to \	NADSWORTH RO	DAD
			Alternative E1	Alternative E2
	Alternative Description		Both alternatives have two t	hrough lanes in each direction
	Impacts	Units	13' Two-way Left-turn Flush Median, Paved Shoulder edge treatment	13' Two-way Left-turn Flush Median, Curb & Gutter edge treatment
sts	Estimated Construction Cost (not including path/sidewalk)	\$	\$19,023,000	\$19,879,000
$^{\circ}$	Estimated Construction Cost of Shared Use Path and Sidewalk	\$	\$1,181,000	\$1,283,000
Estimated	Estimated Construction Cost of Retaining Walls	\$	<b>\$0</b>	\$761,000
	Right-of-Way Acquisition Cost	\$	\$2,280,000	\$1,540,000
	Number of Parcels with Partial Acquisition	each	179	220
	Residential ROW Acquisition	ac	8.88	5.79
(ROW)	Residential Relocations	each	28	0
	Commercial ROW Acquisition	ac	2.14	1.65
Right-of-Way	Commercial Relocations	each	6	0
Right	Farmland ROW Acquisition	ac	0	0
Required	Farmland Parcels Impacted	each	0	0
Req	Industrial ROW Acquisition	ac	0	0
	Industrial Relocations	each	0	0
	Total Right-of-Way Acquisition	ac	11.02	7.44
ent	Impacted Wetlands	ac	0.79	0.68
Environment	Impacted Archaeological Sites	ac	TBD	TBD
	Floodplain Encroachment	ac	0	0
Affected	Special Waste Sites Impacted	each	12	12
Aff	Impacts to Section 4(f) Lands	ac	0	0

#### Notes:

Preliminary cost estimate, subject to change.

Construction cost estimate includes construction engineering.

Construction cost estimate **does not** include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

All impacts are potential: measures may exist to further minimize property acquisition, relocations, and other impacts Section 4(f) Land examples: nature preserves, golf courses, and other designated natural areas.







# REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - DATA

	WADSWORTH ROAD to ILLINOIS ROUTE 173				
			Alternative E1	Alternative E2	
	Alternatives Description Impacts		Both alternatives have two through lanes in each direction		
			13' Two-way Left-turn Flush Median, Paved Shoulder edge treatment	22' Barrier Median, Curb & Gutter edge treatment	
Costs	Estimated Construction Cost (not including path/sidewalk)	\$	\$19,632,000	\$19,186,000	
	Estimated Construction Cost of Shared Use Path and Sidewalk	\$	\$1,305,000	\$1,589,000	
Estimated	Estimated Construction Cost of Retaining Walls	\$	\$0	\$405,000	
	Right-of-Way Acquisition Cost	\$	\$4,254,000	\$2,853,000	
	Number of Parcels with Partial Acquisition	each	134	148	
	Residential ROW Acquisition	ac	18.3	11.59	
(ROW)	Residential Relocations	each	18	4	
	Commercial ROW Acquisition	ac	1.38	1.41	
Right-of-Way	Commercial Relocations	each	0	0	
Right	Farmland ROW Acquisition	ac	0.88	0.79	
Required	Farmland Parcels Impacted	each	2	2	
Requ	Industrial ROW Acquisition	ac	0	0	
	Industrial Relocations	each	0	0	
	Total Right-of-Way Acquisition	ac	20.56	13.79	
ent	Impacted Wetlands	ac	1.29	1.14	
Environmen	Impacted Archaeological Sites	ac	TBD	TBD	
_	Floodplain Encroachment	ac	0	0	
Affected	Special Waste Sites Impacted	each	8	8	
Affe	Impacts to Section 4(f) Lands	ac	0	0	

#### Notes:

Preliminary cost estimate, subject to change.

Construction cost estimate includes construction engineering.

Construction cost estimate **does not** include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

All impacts are potential: measures may exist to further minimize property acquisition, relocations, and other impacts Section 4(f) Land examples: nature preserves, golf courses, and other designated natural areas.







# REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - DATA

	ILLINOIS ROUTE	E 173	3 to RUSSELL R	OAD
			Alternative E1	Alternative E2
	Alternatives  Description		Both alternatives have two t	hrough lanes in each direction
	Impacts	Units	22' Barrier Median, Paved Shoulder edge treatment	22' Barrier Median, Curb & Gutter edge treatment
Costs	Estimated Construction Cost (not including path/sidewalk)	\$	\$14,747,000	\$16,902,000
	Estimated Construction Cost of Shared Use Path and Sidewalk	\$	\$1,041,000	\$1,373,000
Estimated	Estimated Construction Cost of Retaining Walls	\$	<b>\$0</b>	\$1,450,000
	Right-of-Way Acquisition Cost	\$	\$3,519,000	\$2,663,000
	Number of Parcels with Partial Acquisition	each	45	45
	Residential ROW Acquisition	ac	5.10	4.12
(ROW)	Residential Relocations	each	0	0
l 🛌	Commercial ROW Acquisition	ac	1.78	0.94
-of-W	Commercial Relocations	each	1	1
Right-of-Way	Farmland ROW Acquisition	ac	4.38	3.12
· ·	Farmland Parcels Impacted	each	7	7
Required	Industrial ROW Acquisition	ac	5.75	4.69
	Industrial Relocations	each	1	1
	Total Right-of-Way Acquisition	ac	17.01	12.87
ent	Impacted Wetlands	ac	0.59	0.53
Environmen	Impacted Archaeological Sites	ac	TBD	TBD
_	Floodplain Encroachment	ac	0	0
Affected	Special Waste Sites Impacted	each	7	7
Affe	Impacts to Section 4(f) Lands	ac	0	0

#### Notes:

Preliminary cost estimate, subject to change.

Construction cost estimate includes construction engineering.

Construction cost estimate **does not** include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

All impacts are potential: measures may exist to further minimize property acquisition, relocations, and other impacts Section 4(f) Land examples: nature preserves, golf courses, and other designated natural areas.







# REFINED ALTERNATIVES EVALUATION - DATA

	PROJECT TOTAL					
	SUNSET AVENUE to RUSSELL ROAD					
	Alternative Impacts	Units	Alternative E1	Alternative E2		
sts	Estimated Construction Cost ( <b>not</b> including path/sidewalk)	\$	\$53,402,000	\$55,967,000		
ed Costs	Estimated Construction Cost of Shared Use Path and Sidewalk	\$	\$3,527,000	\$4,245,000		
Estimated	Estimated Construction Cost of Retaining Walls	\$	<b>\$0</b>	\$2,616,000		
Щ	Right-of-Way Acquisition Cost	\$	\$10,053,000	\$7,056,000		
	Number of Parcels with Partial Acquisition	each	358	413		
	Residential ROW Acquisition	ac	32.28	21.5		
(ROW)	Potential Residential Relocations	each	46	4		
Vay (R	Commercial ROW Acquisition	ac	5.30	4.00		
of-V	Potential Commercial Relocations	each	7	1		
I Right-	Farmland ROW Acquisition	ac	5.26	3.91		
Required	Farmland Parcels Impacted	each	9	9		
Re	Industrial ROW Acquisition	ac	5.75	4.69		
	Potential Industrial Relocations	each	1	1		
	Total Potential Right-of-Way Acquisition	ac	48.59	34.1		
ent	Impacted Wetlands	ac	2.67	2.35		
Environment	Impacted Archaeological Sites	ac	TBD	TBD		
_	Floodplain Encroachment	ac	0	0		
Affected	Special Waste Sites Impacted	each	27	7		
<b>4</b>	Impacts to Section 4(f) Lands	ac	0	0		

#### Notes:

Preliminary cost estimate, subject to change.

Construction cost estimate includes construction engineering.

Construction cost estimate **does not** include path/sidewalk construction or right-of-way acquisition costs.

All impacts are potential: measures may exist to further minimize property acquisition, relocations, and other impacts

Section 4(f) Land examples: nature preserves, golf courses, and other designated natural areas.



